



RECEIVED

FENNEMORE CRAIG
A Professional Corporation
Norman D. James (No. 006901)
Jay L. Shapiro (No. 014650)
3003 North Central Avenue
Suite 2600
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913
Telephone: (602) 916-5000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Attorneys for Arizona Water Company

AZ CORR COMMISSION

2003 MAY -9 P 2: 57

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKET

MAY 09 2003

DOCKETED BY

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR ADJUSTMENTS TO ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE FURNISHED BY ITS EASTERN GROUP AND FOR CERTAIN RELATED APPROVALS.

Docket No. W-01445A-02-0619

ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO INTERVENTION REQUEST

Arizona Water Company ("AWC" or "the Company"), hereby responds to the intervention request made by a fictitious person, Michelle Byers ("Applicant"), as ordered in the Procedural Order dated May 2, 2003. Because the Applicant refuses to provide an actual identity and address, the Company is forced to oppose intervention.

A.A.C. R14-3-105 sets forth the requirements for intervention in Commission dockets. Under this rule, a person desiring to intervene must submit an application stating the basis for the application and must also demonstrate that their intervention will not unduly broaden the proceedings. With respect to the current request for intervention, the test is not met. In short, it is impossible to assess whether the Applicant has a basis to intervene if the Applicant refuses to identify herself because there is no way to determine whether the individual is an Eastern Group customer, and if so, in which of the Eastern Group systems subject to AWC's request for rate increases in this docket she has an interest. It is equally impossible to evaluate whether or not the applicant's intervention would unduly broaden the proceedings as neither the parties nor the

Commission have any idea who the Applicant is and where she resides. In fact, Applicant's 1 refusal to identify herself so it can be determined if she is, in fact, a customer of AWC has 2 already unduly broadened these proceedings. 3 Accordingly, Applicant's request for intervention should again be denied. 4 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 7th day of May, 2003. 5 FENNEMORE CRAIG 6 7 8 ByMorgian D. James 9 Jay L. Shapiro 3003 North Central Avenue **Suite 2600** 10 Phoenix, AZ 85012 11 Attorneys for Applicant Arizona Water Company 12 An original and 13 copies of the foregoing were delivered this May of 13 May, 2003 to: 14 **Docketing Supervisor** 15 Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 16 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007 17 A copy of the foregoing was delivered/mailed* this 9th 18 day of May, 2003 to: 19 Teena Wolfe, Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division 20 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington 21 Phoenix, AZ 85007 22 Timothy Sabo, Esq. Legal Division 23 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington 24 Phoenix, AZ 85007 25

26

1	Daniel Pozefsky, Esq.* Residential Utility Consumer Office
2	1110 W. Washington St., Suite 200 Phoenix, AZ 85007
3	Kay Bigelow, Esq.*
4	City of Casa Grande Attorney's Office 510 E. Florence Blvd.
5	Casa Grande, AZ 85222
6	
7	
8	By: Mary L House
9	
10	
11	
12	1417743.2
13	1417/45.2
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

FENNEMORE CRAIG PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION TUCSON

26